

DOUGLAS CLARK

C 63,000

SAN BERNARDINO, CA. 94974

PRO SE PLAINTIFF (NO PHONE)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS DANIEL CLARK,
PLAINTIFF,

VS.

WAYNE JEPPESON; ROBERT
FOX; DONALD COLEMAN;
DEFENDANTS.

CASE NO: C-07-5209 PJH/PR

NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR
HEARINGS AT EARLIEST
POSSIBLE TIME ABOUT
THIS COURT'S HANDLING
OF FULLY FILED IFP
PAPERS.

I FOLLOWED SQSP'S NEW RULES ON IFP'S AS OF
JAN 11, 2008. AS MY PREVIOUS IFP STATED THIS NEW
SYSTEM PROVIDES ZERO "PROOF OF SERVICE". IT'S TIME
THIS COURT REVIEWED AND RESOLVED THIS FARCE.

REPORT ID: TS3030 .701

REPORT DATE: 01/11/09
PAGE NO: 1

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

INMATE SAN JOSE COUNTY PRISON
INMATE TRUST ACCOUNT STATEMENT

FOR THE PERIOD: JUL 01, 2007 THRU JAN 11, 2008

ACCOUNT NUMBER: C63000

PRISONER GROUP: D CLARK, DOUGLAS DANIEL

BED/CELL NUMBER: EY1 0000000000455

ACCOUNT TYPE: 1

TRUST ACCOUNT ACTIVITY

<< NO ACCOUNT ACTIVITY FOR THIS PERIOD >>

TRUST ACCOUNT SUMMARY

BEGINNING BALANCE	TOTAL DEPOSITS	TOTAL WITHDRAWALS	CURRENT BALANCE	FEES BALANCE	TRANSACTIONS TO BE POSTED
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

CURRENT AVAILABLE BALANCE

0.00



THIS WITHIN IS A CORRECT
COMPLETION OF ACCOUNT NUMBER
1-11-08
BY TRUST OFFICE
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
BY TRUST OFFICE

Mr. Clark, rec'd your package on
late Thursday, 1-10-08, I certified all
paper needed and it went out on
Friday, 1-11-08, and you are welcome.
Larry Ward, SAO
Trust Office

REPORT ID: TS3030 .701

REPORT DATE: 06/30/08
PAGE NO: 1CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
SAN QUENTIN PRISON
INMATE TRUST ACCOUNTING SYSTEM
INMATE TRUST ACCOUNT STATEMENT

FOR THE PERIOD: APR. 01, 2008 THRU JUN. 30, 2008

ACCOUNT NUMBER : C63000

BED/CELL NUMBER: EY1 000000000455

ACCOUNT NAME : CLARK, DOUGLAS DANIEL

ACCOUNT TYPE: I

PRIVILEGE GROUP: D

TRUST ACCOUNT ACTIVITY

<< NO ACCOUNT ACTIVITY FOR THIS PERIOD >>

TRUST ACCOUNT SUMMARY

BEGINNING BALANCE	TOTAL DEPOSITS	TOTAL WITHDRAWALS	CURRENT BALANCE	HOLDS BALANCE	TRANSACTIONS TO BE POSTED
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

CURRENT
AVAILABLE
BALANCE

0.00

1 ON JAN 11, 2008, PER THE ONLY STAFF I
2 CAN DISCUSS I.F.P. PAPERWORK WITH AT SASP
3 (ACCT. SUPERVISOR LARRY WARD), THE FULLY AND
4 PROPERLY PROCESSED IFP FORMS THE COURT'S
5 ORDER DEMANDED WERE "SENT".
6
7

8 SENT TO WHOM?

9 SENT HOW?

10 WHO IS SUPPOSED TO ACTUALLY PLACE THE
11 FORMS IN THE U.S. MAIL? WHEN?
12

13 WHO CAN FILL OUT A PROOF OF SERVICE,
14 WHEN NO ONE KNOWS WHAT WAS STILL IN THE
15 OPEN, UNLOGGED, NON-CONFIDENTIAL LEGAL MAIL?
16
17

18 GEE - WHAT A MESS- YOU'D THINK THIS
19 NEW SASP POLICY OF NOT SENDING IFP
20 FORMS TO ME, TO MAIL, BY SEALED, LOGGED,
21 "PROOF-OF-SERVICE", WOULD NOT BE LEGALLY
22
23
24
25
26 APPROVED.

27 GEE. YOU'D THINK PLAINTIFF-PRISONERS
28

1 MIGHT PROTEST HOW INHERENTLY UNRELIABLE
2 AND DOWN-RIGHT ILLEGAL THIS "POLICY" IS.

3
4 YOU'D BE RIGHT.

5 I TOLD YOU SO.

6
7 BUT, AS IS BECOMING READILY PROVED
8 FACT, JUDGE HAMILTON SIMPLY IGNORED MY
9 FILING - (SEE INITIAL IFP "PACKAGE") - AND GAVE
10 THE STATE A "WIN", BECAUSE THE STATE'S
11 FARCICAL NEW PROCEDURE FOR IFP FORMS
12 COMPLETELY "SNAFU'ED."
13

14
15 THE "PROCEDURE" SENT YOU IFP'S, ON
16 1-11-08. YOU CLAIM TO NOT HAVE THEM.

17
18 I TOLD YOU THIS NEW SYSTEM WAS A
19 DISASTER WAITING TO HAPPEN.

20
21 YOU IGNORED ME.

22
23 IT HAPPENED.

24
25 IT'S TIME JUDGE HAMILTON QUIT IGNORING
26
27
28

1 MY FILINGS. — OR WHINING THEY ARE
2 ALL "HEAT, NO LIGHT," WHEN I POINT OUT
3 DEF. EXH. "L. 2" IS A FRAUD UPON THE COURT,
4 AS PROVED BY DEF. EXH. L-20 & L-21. OR,
5 STATE LAW PRECLUDES 3RD LEVEL REVIEW OF
6 CLAIM 5B. (IN C-05-0351.)
7
8
9

10 MAYBE YOU SHOULD TRY READING, BEFORE
11 YOU GRANT THE "STATE" A TOTAL VICTORY,
12 ON IMPROPER FOUNDATIONS, AGAIN, AGAIN,
13 AND NOW, AGAIN!
14
15
16

17 I TOLD YOU THIS RIDICULOUSLY
18 UN-LEGAL "SERVICE" OF IFPS WOULD
19 SCREW UP...
20
21
22

23 ATTACHED ARE: (1) DOCUMENTS, THE ONLY
24 ONES POSSIBLE, TO PROVE I PROCESSED IFP
25 FORMS AS OF 1-11-08.
26
27

28 (2) NEW IFPS. IF THIS GETS
MAILED. — NO ONE CAN PROVE THE LAST SET

1 WAS MAILED... (YOU APPROVED THIS SILLY
 2 PROCEDURE, BY ~~IGNORING~~ MY PROTEST OF
 3 IT.)
 4

5 WILL THIS ARRIVE?

6 WILL IT GET MAILED?

7 WHEN?

8 BY WHOM?

9 ONLY THE WIZARD KNOWS, UNDER SQSP'S
 10 NEW "JUDGE-HAMILTON-APPROVED SYSTEM."
 11

12 NO PROOF OF SERVICE IS ATTACHED.

13 I CAN ONLY SEND THIS & IFP FORMS
 14 to "SQSP TRUST OFFICE," OPEN, UN-
 15 LOGGED, UNSIGNED BY TIER OFFICER —
 16 — to HOPE TRUST OFFICE STAFF GET IT.
 17 — to HOPE THEY PROCESS IFP FORMS.
 18 — to HOPE THEY SEND IT ALL TO MAIL ROOM.
 19 (STILL OPEN & UNLOGGED)
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 - to HOPE IT ARRIVES IN MAIL ROOM
2
3 INTACT - (OPEN)

4 - to HOPE MAIL ROOM PUTS POSTAGE ON
5
6 IT. (I'm INDIGENT.)
7

8 - to HOPE THEY SEAL IT,
9

10 - THEN HOPE THEY MAIL IT.
11
12

13 THEN, MAYBE, YOU'LL GET THIS SECOND
14 FULL SET. MAYBE YOU'LL (NUNC PRO TUNC)
15
16 FILE THE LAWSUIT.
17
18

19 THAT'S A LOT TO HOPE FOR - WITH A
20 JUDGE WHO'LL ACCEPT BLATANT LIES BY
21 A STATE LAWYER, TO FABRICATE EXCUSES
22
23 TO DISMISS MY CASE - CLAIMS, OVER MY
24
25 DETAILED FILING EXPOSING SAME.
26
27
28

1 I MOVE THIS "Court" to:

2
3 ① ACCEPT THE FACT SQSP STAFF
4
5 SAYS THEY MAILED FULLY PROCESSED IFP
6
7 FORMS ON 1-11-08.

8
9 ② NUNE-PRO TUNE, FILE THIS CASE, AS
10
11 OF 1-12-08. (HOUSTON V. LACK, MAILBOX RULE.)

12
13 ③ IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FILE IT NOW,
14
15 WITH THE NEXT SET OF IFP'S.

16
17 ④ HOLD AN ACTUAL UNBIASED HEARING
18
19 REGARDING THE IMPROPER PROCEDURE AT SQSP
20
21 FOR PROCESSING & MAILING IFP FORMS IN
22
23 FEDERAL (OR ANY) COURTS.

24
25 ⑤ START READING MY FILINGS
26
27 BEFORE BLINDLY RULING AGAINST ME.

28
Douglas Clark
DOUGLAS CLARK
PLAINTIFF.

8-25-08

D. CLARK
CG3000 (1E45)
SG CA 94974
- PLAINTIFF -

9-2-08

C-07-5209 PJH (PR)

CLERK FOR
JUDGE HAMILTON

TRUST ACCOUNT OFFICER LARRY WARD TOLD ME
ON FRIDAY, 1230PM, 8/29/08, HE PERSONALLY WILL
PROCESS IFP FORMS AND PLACE REFILE 42 USC 1983
SUITS (4) INTO MY (OPEN) ENVELOPE, AND CARRY
THEM ALL TO MAILROOM AT SSSP, AND INSTRUCT
MAIN SERGEANT (NO NAME) TO POST THIS ALL
TO YOU.

WHEN?

WHO THE HELL KNOWS?

THIS NEW SYSTEM AT SSSP DOES NOT ALLOW
ANY PROOF OF SERVICE, LOGGING, OR OTHER
RECORD. WE DID THIS IN JANUARY 08,
AND JUDGE HAMILTON SAYS SHE DIDN'T GET IT.
TRY TRY TRY AGAIN — D. Clark
Plaintiff.

C-07-5209 PJH (PR)

DOUGLAS D. CLARK, C-63000-SQ. CA., 94974

PROOF OF SERVICE

I'M A CITIZEN OF U.S.A., MER 18,
AND SWEAR THAT I SUBMITTED TO
PRISON STAFF FOR POSTING BY "INDIGENT
POSTAGE" POLICIES, THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:

NOTICE AND REQUEST FOR ACTUAL HEARING
ON COURT'S MIS-HANDLING OF "IFP" MATTERS.

I ADDRESSED THE ENVELOPES TO:

JUDGE HAMILTON'S CLERK,
U.S. DIST. COURT

450 GOLDENGATE AVE
SF CA 94012

SWORN TRUE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY,
THIS 2ND DAY OF SEPT., 2008, AT SAN DIEGO,
CALIF.,

Douglas Clark
Douglas Clark (DOUGLAS CLARK.)
C-63000 PLAINTIFF.

S.Q. CA. 94974

DOUGLAS CLARK
C63000 (1EY45)
SAN QUENTIN CA.
94974


CONFIDENTIAL
LEGAL
MAIL

CLERK FOR
JUDGE HAMIL
U.S. DIST. COU
450 GOLDEN G
S.F., CA.

CLERK:
ANOTHER MOTION
RE: IFP POLICIES
AT STSP.

(she blacked prior
two motions on it.)

C-07-5209. PJH (PR)



I.F.P. Motion

#C-07-5209 PJH (AR)

JEPPÉSSON
CLARK V. FOX, COLEMAN
HYBES

2
1
THE AVE
0012



Clotnet 9/1/08